



Linda Jacobson (3 Copies)
RCRA Project Manager
US EPA Region VIII
8ENF-T
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, Colorado 80202-1129

February 6, 2009

SENT BY CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

## CONSENT DECREE CIVIL ACTION NO. CV 98-3-H-CCL EAST HELENA SITE WORK PERFORMED IN JANUARY 2009 PROGRESS REPORT #121

Dear Ms. Jacobson:

On May 5, 1998, Asarco and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) entered into a Consent Decree (Decree) to further the objectives of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the Clean Water Act (CWA). Section XI of the Decree (Reporting: Corrective Action) requires Asarco to submit certified monthly progress reports to EPA which discuss the actions taken by Asarco in achieving compliance with the Decree. The reports are to be submitted to EPA no later than the twentieth (20<sup>th</sup>) day of the following month. The following describes only those activities that have occurred or are related to projects performed during January 2009 and early February 2009. The historical actions taken by Asarco in achieving compliance with the Decree are contained in previous monthly progress reports.

## a. Describe the actions, progress, and status of projects which have been undertaken pursuant to Part VII of the Decree;

On January 12, 2009, EPA, Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Lewis and Clark County, and Asarco personnel participated in a tour of the East Helena facility (and surrounding area) to familiarize Greenfield Environmental Trust Group personnel with RCRA and CERCLA programs that have taken place or are currently taking place.

In early January 2009, Asarco commenced discussions with the Montana Department of Environmental Quality on Asarco's proposed cleaning and demolition project for calendar year 2009. The 2009 work plan objectives will focus on fulfilling all of the remaining obligations contained within the Administrative Order on Consent while addressing interim measure

responsibilities and waste management associated with the cleaning and demolition activities. In a January 22, 2009 telephone call, Asarco shared the generalities of the proposed 2009 work plan with EPA's project manager. On January 23, 2009, a map illustrating the major components of Asarco's proposal was mailed to EPA. On January 23, 2009, Asarco and its consultants met with Josef Warhank (Montana Historical Society) to commence discussions on work plan historic recordation and historic narrative context requirements.

Asarco anticipates delivery of a draft work plan to EPA and the State of Montana in February 2009. Our goal is to address regulatory comments and finalize the work plan no later than March 15, 2009. Shortly thereafter, we will invite prospective contractors to bid on conducting the approved work. Asarco and its contractors must commence the 2009 cleaning and demolition project no later than May 1, 2009 in order to achieve the overall program.

#### **Interim Measures**

Per EPA's request, Asarco will assemble a summary report that discusses the work performed under the 2008 Interim Measures Work Plan Addendum, Blast Furnace Flue and Monier Flue Cleaning and Demolition And Demolition Footprint Exposed Areas Soils Sampling (May 2008). Asarco anticipates delivery of the summary report to EPA in February 2009.

On February 3, 2009, Asarco received EPA's January 28, 2009 letter that requires Asarco to submit an addendum to the groundwater interim measures work plan for the installation of additional groundwater monitoring wells. The well placement will further characterize the northwest component of the intermediate aquifer selenium plume. Asarco will prepare the work plan for submittal to EPA no later than March 3, 2009. Asarco expects that the cost to develop and conduct the activities contained in the work plan will be credited against the custodial trust amount.

#### Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU)

During January 2009, Hydrometrics personnel continued to monitor and pump the leachate collection system from the CAMU phase 2 cell. Approximately 3,150 gallons of leachate was pumped from the leachate collection system in January 2009. The leak detection sump continues to have zero flow, but will continue to be monitored and pumped, if necessary.

On January 30, 2009, Asarco to submitted to EPA the CAMU Phase 2 Cell, 2008 Construction Report.

#### RI/FS Long-Term Monitoring Program

On January 21, 2009, Asarco submitted to EPA a spreadsheet of the October/November 2008 sampling event results.

On January 19, 2009, Asarco conducted the monthly sampling of select residential groundwater wells, as prescribed in the RI/FS Long-Term Monitoring Program (February 2008). Copies of the January 2009 residential well notification letters along with the respective laboratory analytical reports have been attached to this monthly progress report.

Paragraph 97 of the Decree requires Asarco to develop and maintain a single cost estimate for the remaining work to be performed under Section VII (Corrective Action at East Helena) with the annual cost estimates being transmitted with the January monthly progress reports. Asarco is committed to budgeting \$2 million in calendar year 2009 for work associated with the EPA RCRA Consent Decree. During calendar year 2009, Asarco anticipates performing further work by 1) conducting the historic recordation and reporting for structures impacted by the 2009 cleaning and demolition project, 2) placing interim liners in footprint areas impacted by the 2009 cleaning and demolition work plan. 3) managing disposal of wastes generated during the 2009 cleaning and demolition work in the CAMU phase 2 cell, 4) preparing and implementing interim measure work plans to characterize groundwater plumes, 5) preparing a phase II RFI and Risk Assessment work plan and performing required supplemental monitoring, 6) performing on-going monitoring outlined in the RI/FS long-term monitoring program, slurry wall area monitoring, and O&M program, 7) implementing a contingency pump and treat program (source control), 8) repairing and/or replacing plant site temporary covers, and 9) conducting a corrective measures The \$2 million budget does not include 2009 calendar year costs associated with the cleaning and demolition activities at the East Helena Plant. Asarco and EPA continue to discuss certain groundwater remediation actions that, when competed, will assist Asarco is better defining the 2009 cost estimates.

A summary of the correspondence transmitted as part of the East Helena Consent Decree in January 2009 is included in Attachment 1.

## b. Identify any requirements under the Part VII of the Decree that were not completed in a timely manner, and problems or anticipated problem areas affecting compliance with the Decree;

There were no requirements that were not completed in a timely manner nor were there problems or anticipated problem areas that may affect compliance with the Decree.

### c. Describe projects completed during the prior month, as well as activities scheduled for the next month;

In accordance with the 1) 2006 Interim Measures Work Plan Addendum, Final Cleaning, Soil Sampling, Backfilling, and Interim Cap Work Plan and 2) 2006 Interim Measures Work Plan Addendum, Former Acid Plant Sediment Drying Area Slurry Wall, Monitoring, Operation, and Maintenance Work Plan, four areas

in which interim caps have been installed are being inspected on a monthly basis. In December 2008, these monthly inspections were expanded to include areas in which above grade demolition activities were conducted under the 1) 2008 Interim Measures Work Plan and 2) 2008 Cleaning and Demolition Project Work Plan, with the most recent inspections occurring on January 5, 2009.

CAMU Landfill - In accordance with the July 2000 CAMU Design Analysis Report (Operation and Maintenance Plan), the CAMU is being inspected monthly with the last inspection occurring on January 2, 2009. The inspections of the CAMU Phase 2 cell temporary cover are being conducted on a weekly schedule. These monthly and weekly inspections documented that the CAMU Phase 1 and Phase 2 cell are operating as designed.

The field work scheduled for February 2009 includes performing the quarterly groundwater monitoring, as prescribed in the updated monitoring program. The quarterly monitoring program includes the sampling of 67 groundwater wells for analysis of those parameters listed in Appendix B. Asarco has commenced development of the 2009 cleaning and demolition work plan, with an anticipated delivery to the Agency and Department of Environmental Quality in February 2009. Asarco anticipates delivery of the summary Interim Measures Addendum Report to EPA in February 2009. Asarco has commenced development of an addendum to the interim measures work plan for the installation of additional groundwater monitoring wells, with an anticipated delivery to EPA no later than March 3, 2009. The wells placement will further characterize the northwest component of the intermediate selenium plume.

#### d. Describe and estimate the percentage of studies completed;

The Pump and Treat Pilot Scale Testing for Source Area Reduction of Groundwater Contamination is approximately 100% complete.

The jar testing (Phase I) of the East Helena PRB Materials Testing Program is 100% complete.

The slurry wall construction in the former acid plant sediment drying area is 100% complete. On July 24, 2008, Asarco submitted to EPA the Addendum to Interim Measures Work Plan, Former Acid Plan Sediment Drying Wall, Monitoring, Operations, and Maintenance Report, Asarco East Helena Facility.

The interim capping project for the former acid plant sediment drying area, dross area, sinter plant area, gas cleaning section of the acid plant, and thaw house is 100% complete.

The revised January 2008 CAMU Phase 2 Cell Design Analyses is 100% complete, the CAMU Phase 2 Cell financial assurance is fully funded, and construction and 2008 waste placement of the CAMU Phase 2 cell is 100%

complete.

The slurry wall construction in the former speiss-dross plant area is 100% complete. On September 18, 2008, Asarco submitted to EPA the Addendum to Interim Measures Work Plan, Speiss-Dross Area Slurry Wall, Monitoring, Operations, and Maintenance Report, Asarco East Helena Facility.

#### e. Describe and summarize all findings to date;

The details of past findings through December 2008 are described and summarized in previous monthly progress reports.

#### f. Describe actions being taken to address problems;

There were no other actions required to address problems associated with the Decree.

#### g. Identify changes in key personnel during the period;

There were no changes in key personnel during the period. Asarco continues to use the services of Hydrometrics Incorporated to perform the various activities required under the Consent Decree.

h. Include copies of the results of sampling and tests conducted and other data generated pursuant to work performed under Part VII of the Decree since the last Progress Report. Asarco may submit data that has been validated and confirmed by Asarco to supplement any prior submitted data. Updated validated and confirmed data shall be included with the RFI Report, if not delivered before;

One validation package entitled "Validation Summary, Asarco East Helena Post RI/FS Long-Term Monitoring Program, East Helena Residential Groundwater, Inorganic Analyses, January 2009 is attached to this monthly progress report.

i. Describe the status of financial assurance mechanisms, including whether any changes have occurred, or are expected to occur which might affect them, and the status of efforts to bring such mechanisms back into compliance with the requirements of this Decree.

ASARCO filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Southern District of Texas on August 9, 2005. ASARCO hopes to use its chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding to improve its financial position to the point where it can successfully reorganize and emerge from bankruptcy. ASARCO further hopes that at that time it will be in a position to make the required financial assurance demonstration. Asarco has established

the necessary CAMU Phase 2 Cell financial assurance and has provided EPA with the complete executed original of the CAMU Trust Fund Agreement.

Sincerely,

∕Jon Nickel

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO U.S. v ASARCO INCORPORATED (CV-98-3-H-CCL, USDC, D. Montana)

I certify under penalty of law that this document, January 2009 Progress Report and all attachments, were prepared under my direct supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature 7 1 Au 1 Name: Thomas L. Aldrich

Title: Vice President Environmental Affairs

Date: February 6, 2009

# CONSENT DECREE EAST HELENA SITE JANUARY 2009 PROGRESS REPORT SUMMARY OF CORRESPONDENCE ATTACHMENT 1

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL	CORRESPONDENCE SENT FROM	CORRESPONDENCE SENT TO	SUBJECT	RESPONSE
January 23, 2009	Jon Nickel	Linda Jacobson	Map of Proposed 2009 Cleaning and Demolition Project	Review and Comments Requested
January 30, 2009	Jon Nickel	Linda Jacobson	CAMU Phase 2 Cell, 2008 Construction Report	No Formal Response Required
January 21, 2009	Jon Nickel	Linda Jacobson	Spreadsheet of the October/November 2008 Sampling Event Results	No Formal Response Required
Attached to This Monthly Progress Report	Jon Nickel	Linda Jacobson	Validation Summary, Asarco East Helena Post RI/FS Long- Term Monitoring Program, East Helena Residential Groundwater, Inorganic Analyses, January 2009 Sample Event	No Formal Response Required



January 29, 2009

Pat Foley 203 Gail Street P. O. Box 1551 East Helena, Montana 59635

Dear Mr. Foley:

Enclosed are the analytical results for the water samples that were collected from the 203 Gail Street ground water well on January 19, 2009. All the results are reported in milligrams per liter, unless otherwise noted. The physical parameters are reported in the units noted on the attached laboratory analytical report. "ND" indicates that the parameter was not detected at the reporting limit.

The water quality of your well is good with near neutral pH and low levels of total dissolved solids and metals. The tested water quality parameters of the well for the constituents sampled are better than the Montana Human Health Standards and Federal Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)/Action Levels. These recent water quality results are consistent with previous monitoring data from your site and do not indicate significant changes from historical baseline data.

If you have any questions about the enclosed water quality results, please feel free to contact me at 227-4529

Sincerely,

' Jon Nicke

Enclosure

Cc: Tom Aldrich



#### ENERGY LABORATORIES, INC. \* 3161 E Lyndale (59604) \* PO Box 5688 \* Helena, MT 59601 Toll Free 877.472.0711 \* 406.442.0711 \* FAX 406.442.0712 \* helena@energylab.com

#### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:

Matrix:

Asarco LLC

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-300

Project:

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

Groundwater

Foley Residence

203 Gail Street

Lab ID: H09010129-001

Collection Date: 01/19/09 11:00 DateReceived: 01/19/09

Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL.	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RunID	Run Orde	
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
На	7.1	s.u.		0.1		A4500-H B	01/20/09 13:43 / hm		PH 090	120A : 4	090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	300	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12:25 / hm		COND_090	122A : 2	090122A-COND-PROBE W
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15:03 / hm		SOLIDS_090	120A : 3	090120A-SLDS-TSS-W
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	199	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 16:12 / hm		SOLIDS_0901	19A : 17	090119A-SLDS-TDS-W
INORGANICS						•					
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	78	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:16 / hm		TITTR_090	122A : 4	090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	95	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:16 / hm		TITTR_090	122A : 4	090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	5	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:34 / hm		IC101-H_0901	22A : 21	R51551
Sulfate	52	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:34 / hm		IC101-H_0901	22A : 21	R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED											
Arsenic	ND	mg/L		0.002		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 15	B_R123895
Cadmium	ND	mg/L		0.001		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 15	B_R123895
Calcium	30	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 7	B_R123777
Copper	0.018	mg/L		0.004		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 15	B_R123895
Iron	ND	mg/L		0.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 7	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 15	B_R123895
Magnesium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 7	B_R123777
Manganese	ND	mg/L		0.01		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-812	3777 : 7	B_R123777
Potassium	3	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 7	B_R123777
Selenium	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 15	B_R123895
Sodium	13	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 7	B_R123777
Zinc	ND	mg/L		0.02		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 15	B_R123895

Report Definitions: RL - Analyte reporting limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



January 29, 2009

David Jensen P. O. Box 1021 401 Gail Street East Helena, Montana 59635

Dear Mr. Jensen:

Enclosed are the analytical results for the water samples (both original and duplicate) that were collected from your ground water well on January 19, 2009. All the results are reported in milligrams per liter, unless otherwise noted. The physical parameters are reported in the units noted on the attached laboratory analytical report. "ND" indicates that the parameter was not detected at the reporting limit.

The water quality of your well is good with near neutral pH and low levels of total dissolved solids and metals. The tested water quality parameters of the well for the constituents sampled are better than the Montana Human Health Standards and Federal Maximum Concentration Limits (MCL)/Action Levels. These recent water quality results are consistent with previous monitoring data from your site and do not indicate significant changes from historical baseline data.

If you have any questions about the enclosed water quality results, please feel free to contact me at 227-4529.

Sincerely,

on Nickel

Enclosure

Cc: Tom Aldrich



#### ENERGY LABORATORIES, INC. \* 3161 E Lyndale (59604) \* PO Box 5688 \* Helena, MT 59601 Toll Free 877.472.0711 \* 406.442.0711 \* FAX 406.442.0712 \* helena@energylab.com

#### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

(Original)

Client:

Asarco LLC

Lab ID: H09010129-002

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-301

Collection Date: 01/19/09 11:30

Project:

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

DateReceived: 01/19/09

Matrix:

Jensen Residence 401 Gail Street

Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL I	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RunID	Run Orde	
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	****										
pH	7.2	s.u.	(	).1		A4500-H B	01/20/09 13:47 / hm		PH_090	120A : 5	090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	783	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12:27 / hm		COND_090	122A : 4	090122A-COND-PROBE W
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15:03 / hm		SOLIDS_090	120A : 4	090120A-SLDS-TSS-W
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	555	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 16:12 / hm		SOLIDS_0901	19A : 18	090119A-SLDS-TDS-W
INORGANICS						-					
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	120	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:26 / hm		TITTR_090	122A : 5	090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	150	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:26 / hm		TITTR_090	122A : 5	090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	27	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:54 / hm		IC101-H_0901	22A : 22	R51551
Sulfate	230	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:54 / hm		IC101-H_0901	22A : 22	R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED											
Arsenic	0.003	mg/L	0.	002		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 16	B_R123895
Cadmium	ND	mg/L	0.	001		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 16	B_R123895
Calcium	92	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 8	B_R123777
Copper	0.004	mg/L	0.	004		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 16	B_R123895
Iron	0.05	mg/L	0	.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 8	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L	0.	005		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 16	B_R123895
Magnesium	20	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 8	B_R123777
Manganese	0.02	mg/L	0	.01		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 8	B_R123777
Potassium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B12	3777 : 8	B_R123777
Selenium	0.022	mg/L	0.	005		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 16	B_R123895
Sodium	25	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-812	3777 : 8	B_R123777
Zinc	0.05	mg/L	0	.02		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B123	895 : 16	B_R123895

Report Definitions: RL - Analyte reporting limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



#### ENERGY LABORATORIES, INC. \* 3161 E Lyndale (59604) \* PO Box 5688 \* Helena, MT 59601 Toll Free 877.472.0711 \* 406.442.0711 \* FAX 406.442.0712 \* helena@energylab.com

#### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:

Asarco III C

Lab ID: H09010129-003

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-302

Collection Date: 01/19/09 11:45

Project:

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

DateReceived: 01/19/09

Matrix:

Groundwater

Jensen Residence (Duplicate) 401 Gail Street

Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RuniD Ore	
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES										
pН	7.2	s.u.		0.1		A4500-H B	01/20/09 13:48 / hm		PH_090120A:	7 090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	785	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12:28 / hm		COND_090122A:	5 090122A-COND-PROBE W
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15:04 / hm		SOLIDS_090120A:	5 090120A-SLDS-TSS-W
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	523	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 16:13 / hm		SOLIDS_090119A: 1	9 090119A-SLDS-TDS-W
INORGANICS										
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	120	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:49 / hm		TITTR_090122A:	8 090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	150	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:49 / hm		TITTR_090122A:	8 090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	27	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 20:13 / hm		IC101-H_090122A : 2	3 R51551
Sulfate	230	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 20:13 / hm		IC101-H_090122A : 2	3 R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED										
Arsenic	0.002	mg/L		0.002		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B123895 : 1	7 B_R123895
Cadmium	ND	mg/L		0.001		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B123895 : 1	7 B_R123895
Calcium	93	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123777 :	B_R123777
Copper	ND	mg/L		0.004		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B123895 : 1	7 B_R123895
Iron	0.05	mg/L		0.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123777 :	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B123895 : 1	7 B_R123895
Magnesium	20	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123777 :	B_R123777
Manganese	0.02	mg/L		0.01		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123777 :	9 B_R123777
Potassium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123777 :	B_R123777
Selenium	0.018	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B123895 : 1	7 B_R123895
Sodium	25	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123777 :	B_R123777
Zinc	0.05	mg/L		0.02		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B123895 : 1	7 B R123895

Report Definitions: RL - Analyte reporting limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.

# VALIDATION SUMMARY ASARCO EAST HELENA POST RI/FS LONG-TERM MONITORING PROGRAM EAST HELENA RESIDENTIAL GROUNDWATER INORGANIC ANALYSES JANUARY 2009 SAMPLE EVENT (ENERGY LABORATORY WORK ORDER NO. H09010129)

223

Prepared for: Mr. Jon Nickel Asarco LLC PO Box 1230 East Helena, MT 59635

Prepared by: Linda L. Tangen 6900 Cherry Blossom Lane Albuquerque, NM 87111

February 2009

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LIST	OF APPENDICESII
GLC	OSSARY OF TERMSIII
SUM	IMARY1
1.	INTRODUCTION
2.	DELIVERABLES3
3.	FIELD PROCEDURES4
4.	FIELD BLANKS4
5.	FIELD DUPLICATES
6.	LABORATORY PROCEDURES5
7.	DETECTION LIMITS6
8.	LABORATORY BLANKS 6
9.	LABORATORY MATRIX SPIKES 6
10.	LABORATORY DUPLICATES 6
11.	LABORATORY CONTROL STANDARDS (LCS)7
12.	INTERPARAMETER COMPARISON7
13.	HISTORICAL COMPARISON SUMMARY8
14.	DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQOS)8
15.	CONCLUSION9
REF	ERENCES
	LIST OF APPENDICES
APP	PENDIX 1: TABLE

**Table 1. Historical Comparison Summary** 

**APPENDIX 2: DATABASE** 

**APPENDIX 3: FIELD NOTES** 

**APPENDIX 4: CHAIN OF CUSTODY** 

APPENDIX 5: LABORATORY REPORT

#### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

CLP Contract Laboratory Program
COC Chain of Custody
CRDL Contract Required Detection Limit
DI Deionized Water
DIS Dissolved
DQO Data Quality Objective
ELI-Hel Energy Laboratories, Inc., Helena, Montana
EPAU.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ICV Initial Calibration Verification
IDL Instrument Detection Limit
LCSLaboratory Control Sample
LFBLaboratory Fortified Blank
MS Matrix Spike
NA Not Applicable
PDLG Project Detection Limit Goal
QC Quality Control
RI/FS Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
RPD Relative Percent Difference
SC Specific Conductivity

#### **SUMMARY**

East Helena private well water (groundwater) samples were collected January 19, 2009 for the ASARCO East Helena Facility Post RI/FS Long-Term Monitoring sample event. Inorganic constituents for these samples were validated using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines for data validation (EPA 2002) and the project work plan (ASARCO 2002 and 2007). Samples were analyzed by Energy Laboratories, Inc. (ELI-Hel) in Helena, Montana, under work order H08120092.

The validated database is located in Appendix 2. Field notes, chain of custody forms, and laboratory reports are located in Appendices 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

#### Data quality objectives for this project are as follows:

٠,

- Precision is determined by field and laboratory duplicate sample results that are within control
  limits. The completeness objective for precision is 90% of the duplicate sample results within
  control limits. This objective was met as 100% of the field and laboratory duplicate
  results were within control limits.
- Accuracy is determined by laboratory control sample (LCS) and matrix spike (MS) sample
  results that are within control limits. The completeness objective for accuracy is 90% of the
  LCS and MS sample results within control limits. This objective was met as 100% of the
  LCS results and 100% of the MS results were within control limits.
  - \*Note: Due to the lack of LCSs for dissolved metals, fortified laboratory blanks were used to assess the accuracy for these analytes. In several cases, samples used for matrix spikes for were from unknown sources and therefore, could not be used to evaluate the accuracy of this sampling event's data. This is explained further in the following report.
- Completeness is calculated by the number of valid (not rejected) data per number of <u>planned</u> data, expressed as a percentage. The completeness goal for this project was 90%. This goal was met as 100% of the planned data were analyzed and deemed valid.

Conclusion

The data collected in January 2009 for the ASARCO East Helena Post RI/FS Long Term

Monitoring Program are deemed acceptable and can be used for the purposes they were intended.

Of the measured results, 100% can be used without qualification.

Data Validation Report by:

Linda L. Tangen

**Client Review:** 

Jon Nickel

2

#### DATA VALIDATION REPORT

#### 1. Introduction

2.

This validation applies to analyses for four groundwater and quality control samples
collected on January 19, 2009 for the ASARCO East Helena Post RI/FS Long-Term
Monitoring Program (ASARCO 2002 and 2007). Samples were analyzed by Energy
Laboratories in Helena, Montana (ELI-Hel) under work order H09010129. One field
blank and one field duplicate samples were included with these samples.

	blank and one field duplicate samples were included with these samples.
•	Validation procedures used are generally consistent with:  X EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Inorganics Data Review (EPA 2002)  X Work Plan – Interim Measures Work Plan Addendum (ASARCO 2002)  X Post RI/FS Long-Term Monitoring Program (ASARCO 2007)  Other
•	Overall level of validation:  CLPX Standard – Field and laboratory quality control (QC) samples are reviewed; and samples associated with QC violations are flagged.  Visual
DE	LIVERABLES
•	All laboratory document deliverables were present as specified in the CLP-Statement of Work (EPA 2001), and/or the project contract.  _X_ Yes No
•	All documentation of field procedures was provided as required.  X Yes No
•	Data entry for documents was accurate and complete.  Yes  X No – see notes  Notes: The laboratory entered sample codes as EHP-0109 instead of EHR-0109. The correct sample code was entered into the validated database.

#### 3. FIELD PROCEDURES

4.

•	Samples were collected from all project-required sites.  _X_ Yes - see notes No
	Notes: All site owners were contacted according to the project requirements however, a sample was not collected at 109 Gail because the well wa winterized.
•	Field parameters were measured in accordance with the project work plan.  _X Yes No
•	Field instruments were calibrated daily and before measurements were collected.  X Yes No
•	Chains of Custodies (COCs) were properly filled out and signed by the field personnel.  _X_Yes No
•	Data entry into field books, on COCs, and on sample labels were accurate and complete.  _X_Yes No
	LD BLANKS
	nks: Please note that the highest blank value associated with any particular analyte is that value used for the flagging process.
	Deionized water (DI), trip, rinsate, or any other field blanks have been carrie out at the proper frequency (one rinsate blank and one DI blank per event).  X Yes No
	Reported results on the field blanks were less than the Project Detection Lim Goals (PDLGs) or reporting limit.  Yes
	X No - see notes  Notes: Samples associated with blank detections, and with detected results les than five times the blank value are normally flagged "UJ" to indicate a possible positive bias. However, for this sampling event, all of the results associated with field blank detections were greater than five times the blank value and therefore no data were qualified. On the following page is a summary of the field blank detections.

**Field Blank Detections** 

Blank Type	Sample Code	Sample Date	Parameter	PDLG (mg/L)	Result (mg/L)	5 X Result (mg/L)	Flags
Field Blank	EHR-0109-303	1/19/09	Bicarbonate	1	2	10	0*
			Total Alkalinity	1	2	10	0*

<sup>\*</sup>Notes: Associated results were greater than five times the blank value.

	T	D Di			
5.	MILET	13 131	I IUI	ראיז	

6.

	Field duplicates have been collected at the proper frequency (one field duplicate per event).  X Yes No
	Field duplicate relative percent differences (RPDs) were within the required control limits (RPD of 20% or less). If the sample or duplicate result is less or equal to five times the PDLG, the RPD criteria are not used. In these cases, the difference between the sample and the duplicate results must be within ± the PDLG.  Yes No
LABO	DRATORY PROCEDURES
	Laboratory procedures followed  _X
• ]	Holding times met  _X_YesNo
• (	Consistency with project requirements  Analyses were carried out as required by the project work plan (ASARCO 2002 and 2007).  X Yes  No
	Project specified methods were used.  X Yes No

#### 7. **DETECTION LIMITS**

• Reporting detection limits met PDLGs.

\_X\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

#### 8. LABORATORY BLANKS

Please note that the highest blank value associated with any particular analyte is the blank value used for the flagging process.

• Method blanks were prepared and analyzed at the required frequency (one per batch or one per 20 samples, whichever is greater.

X Yes No

• All the analytes in the blank were less than the PDLG.

X Yes

#### 9. LABORATORY MATRIX SPIKES

• A Matrix Spike (MS) sample (pre-digestion) was analyzed at the proper frequency (one per batch and/or matrix).

Yes
X No – see notes

Notes: Samples from unknown sources were used as the MS for chloride, sulfate, dissolved arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, selenium, and zinc. Therefore, inter-parameter interferences could not be evaluated for these analyses. The accuracy was measured by laboratory control sample recoveries.

• MS recoveries were within the required control limits (75-125%).

\_X\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

#### 10. LABORATORY DUPLICATES

 Laboratory duplicate samples were analyzed at the proper frequency (one per batch or one per 20 samples, whichever is greater).

X Yes

• RPDs were within the required control limits (RPD of 20% or less). If the sample or duplicate result is less or equal to five times the PDLG, the RPD criteria are not used. In these cases, the difference between the sample and the duplicate results must be within ± the PDLG.

\_X\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No

#### 11. LABORATORY CONTROL STANDARDS (LCS)

Laboratory Fortified Blanks (LFBs) were used in lieu of LCS' for metal analyses. This is acceptable for the purpose of the project.

• The reference material used for the LCS or LFB was of the correct matrix.

\_X\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No

• LCS' or LFBs were prepared and analyzed at the proper frequency (one per batch or one per 20 samples, whichever is greater).

X Yes

LCS recoveries were within the required control limits (80-120% or certified range).

\_X Yes \_\_\_ No

#### 12. INTERPARAMETER COMPARISON

X Lab pH vs. Field pH

X Lab Specific Conductivity (SC) vs. Field SC

X Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) vs. Field SC

Lab pH vs. Field pH: Field and lab pH pairs were compared using laboratory duplicate criteria (refer to section 10). These comparisons were less than or equal to 9.9 RPD and therefore acceptable for the purposes of the project.

Lab SC vs. Field SC: Field and lab SC pairs were compared using laboratory duplicate criteria (refer to section 10). These comparisons were less than or equal to 37.6 RPD. Following is a summary of the sites with field and lab SC pairs greater than 20 RPD. Action was not taken on these data.

Site	Sample Code	Sample Date	Field SC (umohs/cm)	Lab SC (umohs/cm)	RPD	Action
203 Gail	EHR-0109-300	1/19/2009	205	300	37.6	None - Values in line with historical data.
401 Gail	EHR-0109-301	1/19/2009	547	783	35.5	None - Values in line with historical data.

TDS vs. Field SC: The ratio of TDS to field SC results should lie between 0.55 and 0.75. This ratio is intended to be a check on the accuracy of the TDS and lab SC measurements. In natural waters with high sulfate, the ratio may be much higher and the ratio is less accurate in dilute waters. TDS/SC ratios for this sampling event were from 0.66 to 0.71, which were in line with historical data.

#### 13. HISTORICAL COMPARISON SUMMARY

Data for this sampling event were compared with sampling events from the previous five years (since January 2004). For this sampling event, the dissolved oxygen concentration was historically high for site 203 Gail. The Historical Comparison Summary of this measurement is located in Appendix 1, Table 1.

#### 14. DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQOS)

•	The data quality goal was met for precision (90% of the field and laboratory duplicates
	were within control limits).

<u>X</u>	Yes -see the following table	
	No	

**Precision Objectives** 

<b>QC</b> Туре	Total Results	# of Results Out of Control Limits	# of Results Within Control Limits	% Within Control Limits
Field Duplicates	20	0	20	100.0%
Lab Duplicates	31	0	31	100.0%
Overali	51	0	51	100.0%

• The data quality goal was met for accuracy (90% of the LCS and matrix spike results were within control limits).

**Accuracy Objectives** 

<b>Q</b> C Туре	Total Results	# of Results Out of Control Limits	# of Results Within Control Limits	% Within Control Limits
LCS' and LFBs	21	0	21	100.0%
Matrix Spikes	18	0	18	100.0%
Overall	39	0	39	100.0%

• DQO target for completeness was met (the number of valid results divided by the number of possible results is 90% or above).

<u>X</u>	Yes - se	the tab	ole on the	following	page
	No				

#### **Completeness**

# of Planned	Actual # of	# of Rejected	# of Valid	Completeness
Measurements	Measurements	Measurements	Measurements	
88	88	0	88	100.0%

• Samples were qualified for QC exceedances and deficiencies.

Yes
X No – see the following table

#### **Qualification of Samples**

	# of Qualified		
# of Measurements	Measurements	# Not Qualified	% Not Qualified
88	0	88	100.0%

#### 15. CONCLUSION

The data collected in January 2009 for the ASARCO East Helena Post RI/FS Long Term Monitoring Program are deemed acceptable and can be used for the purposes they were intended.

Data Validation Report by: Linda L. Tangen

Client Review by: Jon Nickel

#### REFERENCES

- ASARCO 2002. Interim Measures Work Plan Addendum, East Helena Facility. ASARCO Consulting Inc. Revised May.
- ASARCO 2007. Post RI/FS Long-Term Monitoring Program. ASARCO LLC. April.
- EPA 1983. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. United States Environmental Protection Agency. March.
- EPA 1986. Test Method for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. 4 Vols. United States Environmental Protection Agency. November.
- EPA 2001. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis.

  United States Environmental Protection Agency. Document Number ILM05.2.

  December.
- EPA 2002. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review. United States Environmental Protection Agency. July.

**APPENDIX 1** 

**TABLES** 

#### Table 1. Historical Comparison Summary~

#### Asarco Private Well Sampling Event January 2009

~This table lists the results that, when subtracted from the historical mean, the difference is greater than three times the historical standard devation; and/or where this sampling event's data has the highest or lowest historical concentration.

Station	This Sai Event's		F		listorical	Data			parison To torical Data
Parameter	Sample Date	Value	# of Data Pts	Min	Max	Mean	Std Dev	# of Std Dev*	High or Elev Low DL**
All units are in ppm unles	s noted otherwise	<b>9</b> .					<del></del>		
203 Gail	1/19/2009	EHR-010	9-300				<del> </del>		
Oxygen (O) (DIS) (Fld)		19.37	30	3.72	13.49	8.3383	2.5089	4.4 Hi	ighest

#### Notes:

<sup>\*#</sup> of Std Dev (from historical mean) = The difference between the sample's value and historical mean, divided by the historical standard deviation.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Elev DL = An elevated reporting limit was used for the sample's value. The program used to generate this report must use the reporting limit value to calculate the statistics for this sampling event. The reader must be aware that the true value may be less than the elevated reporting limit and therefore, the difference between the true value and the historical mean may not be greater than three times the standard deviation; and/or the sample's true value may not be the highest historical concentration.

APPENDIX 2

**DATABASE** 

#### ANALYSES SUMMARY REPORT Asarco East Helena Private Wells - January 2009

#### Database: ASARCO, East Helena Plant Table of Contents by Station Type

<b>Page</b>	Station Type	Station Name
1	Domestic Wells	203Gail
1	Domestic Wells	401Gail
1	Field Quality Control	FieldBlank

TOT: Total; DIS: Dissolved; TRC: Total Recoverable

#### ANALYSES SUMMARY REPORT Asarco East Helena Private Wells - January 2009

#### Database: ASARCO, East Helena Plant Table of Contents By Lab Sample ID

Page	Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	Sample Date	Station Name
1	H09010129-001	EHR-0109-300	1/19/2009	203Gail
1	H09010129-002	EHR-0109-301	1/19/2009	401Gail
1	H09010129-003	EHR-0109-302	1/19/2009	401Gail
1	H09010129-004	EHR-0109-303	1/19/2009	FieldBlank

TOT: Total; DIS: Dissolved; TRC: Total Recoverable

#### ANALYSES SUMMARY REPORT Asarco East Helena Private Wells - January 2009

#### Database: ASARCO, East Helena Plant Table of Contents by Sample ID

<b>Page</b>	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Sample Date	Station Name
1	EHR-0109-300	H09010129-001	1/19/2009	203Gail
1	EHR-0109-301	H09010129-002	1/19/2009	401Gail
1	EHR-0109-302	H09010129-003	1/19/2009	401Gail
1	EHR-0109-303	H09010129-004	1/19/2009	FieldBlank

TOT: Total; DIS: Dissolved; TRC: Total Recoverable

#### ANALYSES SUMMARY REPORT

#### Asarco East Helena Private Wells - January 2009

Database: ASARCO, East Helena Plant

Sample Matrix	STATION	203Gail	401 Gail	401 Gail	FieldBlank
Water	SAMPLE DATE	1/19/2009	1/19/2009	1/19/2009	1/19/2009
	SAMPLE TIME	11:00	11:30	11:45	12:30
	LAB	ELI	ELI	ELI	ELI
	LAB NUMBER	H09010129-001	H09010129-002	H09010129-003	H09010129-004
	SAMPLE NUMBER	EHR-0109-300	EHR-0109-301	EHR-0109-302	EHR-0109-303
	TYPE	Domestic Wells	Domestic Wells	Domestic Wells	Field QC
	GROUP	Private Wells	Private Wells	Private Wells	Private Wells
	DESCRIPTION				
	REMARKS			Field Duplicate	Blank
Common lons (m	ng/L): ppm unless not	ed		<u> </u>	
	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	95	150	150	2
	Calcium (Ca) (DIS)	30	92	93	<1
	Chloride (Cl)	5	27	27	</td
1	Magnesium (Mg) (DIS)	6	20	20	<1
	Potassium (K) (DIS)	3	6	6	<1
	Sodium (Na) (DIS)	13	25	25	<
	Sulfate (SO4)	52	230	230	<1
Total	Alkalinity As CACO3	78	120	120	2
Metals (mg/L): p	pm unless noted				
	Arsenic (As) (DIS)	<0.002	0.003	0.002	<0.002
	Cadmium (Cd) (DIS)	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Copper (Cu) (DIS)	0.018	0.004	<0.004	< 0.004
	lron (Fe) (DIS)	<0.02	0.05	0.05	<0.02
	Lead (Pb) (DIS)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<0.005
	Manganese (Mn) (DIS)	<0.01	0.02	0.02	<0.01
	Selenium (Se) (DIS)	<0.005	0.022	0.018	<0.005
	Zinc (Zn) (DIS)	<0.02	0.05	0.05	<0.02
Physical/Fld-Lab	ppm unless noted			<del> –</del>	
C	Oxygen (O) (DIS) (Fld)	19.37	11.02		
	pH	7.1	7.2	72	5.5
	pH (Fld)	6.43	6.99		
SC (um	nhos/cm at 25 C) (Fld)	205	547		
S	C (umhos/cm at 25 C)	300	783	785	:
r	Total Suspended Solids	<10	<10	<10	<10
TD	S (Measured at 180 C)	199	555	523	<](
Water	Temperature (C) (Fld)	11.7	11.4		

TOT: Total; DIS: Dissolved; TRC: Total Recoverable

NOTE: Table 1 lists data validation flagging descriptions.

**APPENDIX 3** 

FIELD NOTES

JAMACH 19.2003	JENSEN
MOUNTY PHIES LUE-THEM	44 GAIL STREET
PUSINCHIAL WELL MOLLIARING	EHR-0109-301 ORILINAL
LES MINERALITY WAS CONTINUED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ET-12 - CIO7 302 PUPLICATE
FLELD SMADARNIZATED OF HOUMA	SAMPIES COLICITED FROM DETALLIED
STALLOND SOLD METER PLANTE	CARDEN SPILOT AFTER 10 MINUTO
0h 4.003W 4.003)	POVICE DAVID AND PAUL JENSON DICON
CONSCIONTY 44.80 2MINOSICM 4480 2010-5120	ph 6.995W
5014:14 0.23% 0.23%	CENOUCTUITY 547 unboslaw
- Comment of the comm	D.O 11.02 mill
	16mg 11.4°C
FOLEY	
203 CAIL STREET	MORDSTROM IRRIGATION WELL
日心·0109-300	WINTERIZED, NO SAMPLE
SAMPLES COLCUTED FROM IAUN	•
SOURT OF WOUTH SIX OF HOME	1 10109-303 FIELD BONC
After 10 MINUTE PURE	
ph 6.43 SLU	
CENIOUCTUITY 205 unnoylar	
	<del></del>
l	

## APPENDIX 4 CHAIN OF CUSTODIES

Chain of Cus	tody an	d Analytic	al Request	Reco	ord	Page 1 of . 1
Company Name:	Project Nar	ne, PWS, Permit, Et	nformetion as possible.	TERM	Sample Origin	EPA/State Compliance:
ASARCO LLC	RESIDE	1140M JAPI	variat-division	4 2009	State:	Yes No No
Report Mail Address:	Contact Na	me: Pho	one/Fax: 10)227-4529		Email:	Sampler: (Please Print)
P.O. BOX 1230 EAST HELDINA, INT 5/183	1 JONIN	alouel (4)	36)221-4529			J. MICKEL
Invoice Address:		ntact & Phone:			Purchase Order:	Quote/Bottle Order:
Special Report/Formats - ELI must be notified		analysis	REQUESTED		Contact ELI pric	or to Shipped by:
prior to sample submittal for the following:	Number of Containers Sample Type: A W S V B O Arr Water Soils/Solids Vegetation Eloassay Other	2		SEE ATTACHED Normal Turnaround (TAT)	R RUSH sample s for charges and scheduling – Se instruction Page	Cobièf (D(s):
DW A2LA	A A V	25		ATTACHED Turnaround (TA'	Comments:	Receipt Temp
GSA EDD/EDT(Electronic Data) POTW/WWTP Format:	Sater of Type	3		E man	S	G. C °C
State: LEVEL IV	Num Pary Pary eget	8 7 5		H B		Yes No
Other: NELAC	ಿ ಚಿ >।	Physical		SEE	H	Custody Seel Y
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION Collection Collection (Name, Location, Interval, etc.)	MATRIX	Physical Pavametors Commos lows METALS				Intect y SS Signature y Majoh
EHP-0109-300 RAW 1119109 11:60	GW	XX		XX		109010 179.00
EAP-0109-300 METAL ) 11:00		X				O An
*EHP-0109-301 RAW 11:30		XX				© <i>ar</i>
12-49-0109-301 METAL 11:30		X				S W
"EHP-0109-302 RAW 11:45		XX				
°EHP-0109-302 METAL 11:45	1-1	X				<i>≥ 003</i>
7H1P-0109-303 RAW 12:30	<del>      -   -                            </del>	XX				IN OH
*EHP-0109-303 METAL 12:30		X				
9	-			VV		
10			Received by (print):		Pate/Time:	
Record Relinquished by (print): Date Fine:		Wilker				Signature:
Hecord Relinquished by (print): Date/firme:  MUST be	U <sup>sign</sup>	ature;	Received by (print):  Representative:		Pate/Time:	Signature:
Signed Sample Disposal: Return to Client:	Lab Dispo	sal:	Revelyed by Laboratory:	whole	1.19.09 15:K	Strange of the strang

In certain circumstances, samples submitted to Energy Laboratories, inc. may be subcontracted to other certified laboratories in order to complete the analysis requested.

This serves as notice of this possibility. All sub-contract data will be clearly notated on your analytical report.

Visit our web site at <a href="www.energylab.com">www.energylab.com</a> for additional information, downloadable fee schedule, forms, and links.

TABLE B. SAMPLING ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS FOR THE MONTHLY RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLE PROGRAM, THE QUARTERLY MONTTORING AVELL SAMPLE PROGRAM, AND SURFACE WATER SAMPLING - 2008

Parameter	Analytical Technique	Austytical Method	Project Detection Limit (ppm)
Physical Parameters	ه <del>دستندست</del> و این برید ،		
171	[fil Meter	SM 4506H-B	
Specific Conductivity	SC Meter	SM 2510 B	
TOS	Gravimetric	SM 2540C	10
TSS	Gravimetric	SM 2543D	10
Common Ions			
Alkalialty	Tithinettic	SM 23203	<u> </u>
Bicarbonate	Tudiacid:	SNI 23203	
Sulfate	Tubidimetric	SN1 4500504 E	1 1
Chlorida	Colorimetria	SM 4500 CL C	1 1
Calcium	1107	E200.7	5
Magnesium	1102	E200.7	5
Sodiem	I ICP	E200.7	5
Potassina	IC?	E200.7	5
Arsenic and Metals			
Arsenia	1 IC?	200.7	• 0,005
	IC2-5/S	200.8	(0.002 for residential
	1		<u> </u>
Cedations	1107	1 200.7	0.601
	ICF-MS	200,8	
Copper	ICS	200.7	0.004
	1CP-N:5	200,\$	
Iton	(ICP	200,7	0.020
	ICP-N!S	200.8	
Manguntsa	T IC?	200.7	0.015
<b>.</b>	ICP-NIS	200.8	
Lend	IC?	200.7	0.005
	1C5-N2	200,8	
Selenium	ICF	200,7	0.005
·	ICP-MS	360%	
Zinc	ICP	200,7	0.020
	ICP-MS	200,8	
	·· <u>·················</u>		
ield Parameters	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	W. CO. 10	e.ot a
wi,		107-SOP-10 167-SOP-20	NA NA
Compandate		11F-\$OP-22	<u>NA</u>
isadved Oxygen (DO)		11F-\$OP-20	
<u> </u>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
pecific Conductivity (SC)	SC Maior	HF-SOP-79	10.4

# APPENDIX 5 LABORATORY REPORT



### **ANALYTICAL SUMMARY REPORT**

January 28, 2009

Jon Nickel

Asarco LLC

PO Box 1230

East Helena, MT 59635

Workorder No.: H09010129

Quote ID: H330 - Residential Well

Project Name: RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

Energy Laboratories Inc received the following 4 samples for Asarco LLC on 1/19/2009 for analysis.

Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Collect Date	Receive Date	Matrix	Test
H09010129-001	EHP-0109-300	01/19/09 11:00	01/19/09	Groundwater	Metals by ICP/ICPMS, Dissolved Alkalinity Conductivity Anions by Ion Chromatography pH Solids, Total Dissolved Solids, Total Suspended
H09010129-002	EHP-0109-301	01/19/09 11:30	01/19/09	Groundwater	Same As Above
H09010129-003	EHP-0109-302	01/19/09 11:45	01/19/09	Groundwater	Same As Above
H09010129-004	EHP-0109-303	01/19/09 12:30	01/19/09	Groundwater	Same As Above

#### **BRANCH LABORATORY LOCATIONS**

eli-b - Energy Laboratories, Inc. - Billings, MT, EPA # MT00005

eli-c - Energy Laboratories, Inc. - Casper, WY, EPA# WY00002

eli-g - Energy Laboratories, Inc. - Gillette, WY, EPA# WY00006

eli-h - Energy Laboratories, Inc. - Helena, MT, EPA# MT00945

eli-r - Energy Laboratories, Inc. - Rapid City, SD, EPA# SD00012

eli-t - Energy Laboratories, Inc. - College Station, TX, EPA# TX01520

### SUBCONTRACTING ANALYSIS

Subcontracting of sample analyses to an outside laboratory may be required. If so, ENERGY LABORATORIES, INC. will utilize its branch laboratories or qualified contract laboratories for this service. Any such laboratories are indicated within the Laboratory Analytical Report.

### SAMPLE TEMPERATURE COMPLIANCE: 4°C (±2°C)

Temperature of samples received may not be considered properly preserved by accepted standards. Samples that are hand delivered immediately after collection shall be considered acceptable if there is evidence that the chilling process has begun.

ELI appreciates the opportunity to provide you with this analytical service. For additional information, including certifications, and analytical services visit our web page www.energylab.com.

	Ionathan D. Hager	Digitally signed by Jonathan D. Hager DN, or = Jonathan D. Hager, e=Energy Lahoratory. Helena, our:Assistant Lab Manager, emails/hager@energylab.com, c=US
Report Approved By:		Date: 2009:01:28 13:12:01-07:00



### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:

Asarco LLC

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-300

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

Project: Matrix:

Groundwater

Lab ID: H09010129-001

Run

Collection Date: 01/19/09 11:00

DateReceived: 01/19/09

Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RunID	Orde	r BatchID
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES											
pH	7.1	5. <i>u</i> .		0.1		A4500-H 8	01/20/09 13:43 / hm		PH_090	20A · 4	090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	300	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12:25 / hm		COND_0901	22A · 2	090122A-COND-PROBE W
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15.03 / hm		SOLIDS_0901	20A : 3	090120A-SLDS-T5S-W
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	199	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 16.12 / hm		SOLIDS_09011	9A . 17	090119A-SLDS-TDS-W
INORGANICS									_		
Alkalinity. Total as CaCO3	78	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:16 / hm		TITTR_090		090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	95	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 15:16 / hm		TITTR_090	22A 4	090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	5	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:34 / hm		IC101-H_09012	2A 21	R51551
Sulfate	52	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:34 / hm		IC101-H_09012	2A : 21	R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED											
Arsenic	ND	mg/L		0.002		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B1238		B_R123895
Cadmium	ND	mg/L		0,001		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / ell-b		SUB-B1236	95 · 15	B_R123895
Calcium	30	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-8123	777 : 7	B_R123777
Copper	0.018	mg/L		0.804		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	95 : 15	B_R123895
iron	ND	mg/L		0.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-8123	777 : 7	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	95 : 15	B_R123895
Magnesium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / ell-b		SUB-B123	777 : 7	B_R123777
Manganese	ND	mg/L		0.01		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B123	777:7	B_R123777
Potassium	3	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B123	777 : 7	8_R123777
Selenium	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200 8	01/24/09 12:13 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	95 : 15	B_R123895
Sodium	13	mg/L		1		E200 7	01/21/09 15:24 / eli-b		SUB-B123	777 : 7	B_R123777
Zinc	ND	mg/L		0.02		E200 8	01/24/09 12 13 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	195 : 15	B_R123895



### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:

Asarco LLC

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-301

110 1

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

Project: Matrix:

Groundwater

Lab ID: H09010129-002

Collection Date: 01/19/09 11:30

DateReceived: 01/19/09

Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RuniD	Run Orde	•
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES											
pH	7.2	S.U.		0.1		A4500-H B	01/20/09 13 47 / hm		PH_0901:	20A : 5	090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	783	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12:27 / hm		COND_0901	22A : 4	090122A-COND-PROBE W
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15:03 / hm		SOLIDS_0901:	20A : 4	090120A-SLDS-TSS-W
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	555	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 16:12 / hm		SOLIDS_09011	9A : 18	090119A-SLOS-TDS-W
INORGANICS											
Alkalınity, Total as CaCO3	120	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:26 / hm		TITTR_0901:	22A . 5	090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	150	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:26 / hm		TITTR_0901;	22A : 5	090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	27	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:54 / hm		IC101-H_09012	2A . 22	R51551
Sulfate	230	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 19:54 / hm		IC101-H_09012	2A : 22	R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED											
Arsenic	0.003	mg/L		0.002		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B12381	96 . 16	B_R123895
Cadmium	ND	mg/L		0.001		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-B12389	9 <b>5</b> : 16	B_R123895
Calcium	92	mg/L		1		£200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-81237	777 . 8	B_R123777
Copper	0.004	mg/L		0.004		E260.8	01/24/09 13.37 / eli-b		SUB-B12389	95 : 16	B_R123895
Iron	0.05	mg/L		0.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / el⊩b		SUB-B1237	777 . 8	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L		0,005		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / el-b		SUB-B12388	35 : 16	B_R123896
Magnesium	20	mg/L		1		E200 7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-81237	777 . 8	B_R123777
Manganese	0.02	mg/L		0.01		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SU8-8123	777 : 8	B_R123777
Potassium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B1237	777 : 8	B_R123777
Selenium	0.022	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 13.37 / eli-b		SUB-B12389	95 : 16	B_R123895
Sodium	25	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:35 / eli-b		SUB-B1237	777 - 8	B_R123777
Zinc	0.05	mg/L		0.02		E200.8	01/24/09 13:37 / eli-b		SUB-812389	95 : 16	B_R123895

Report Definitions:

RL - Analyte reporting limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:

Asarco LLC

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-302

Project:

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

Matrix:

Groundwater

Lab ID: H09010129-003

Run

Collection Date: 01/19/09 11:45

DateReceived: 01/19/09 Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RunJD	Order	BatchID
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES											
pH	7.2	s.u.		0.1		A4500-H B	01/20/09 13:48 / hm		PH_0901	20A : 7	090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	785	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12.28 / hm		COND_0901	22A . 5 0	90122A-COND-PROBE W
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15:04 / hm		SOLIDS_0901	20A : 5	090120A-SLDS-TSS-W
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	523	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 15:13 / hm		SOLIDS_09011	9A 19	090119A-SLDS-TDS-W
INORGANICS											
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	120	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:49 / hm		TITTR_0901		090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	150	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:49 / hm		TITTR_0901		090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	27	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 20:13 / hm		IC101-H_09012	2A : 23	R51551
Sulfate	230	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 20.13 / hm		IC101-H_09012	2A : 23	R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED											
Arsenic	0.002	mg/L		0.002		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B1238		6_R123895
Cadmium	NO	mg/L		0.001		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B1238		B_R123895
Calcium	93	mg/L		1		E200,7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-8123	777 : 9	B_R123777
Copper	NO	mg/L		0.004		E200.8	01/24/09 14:18 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	95 17	B_R123895
Iron	0.05	mg/L		0.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123	777 : 9	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	95 : 17	B_R123885
Magnesium	20	mg/L		1		E200,7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123	777 9	B_R123777
Manganese	0.02	mg/L		0.01		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-B123	777 : 9	8_R123777
Potassium	6	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-8123	777 : 9	B_R123777
Selenium	0.018	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 14:16 / eli-b		SU8-B1238	95 : 17	B_R123895
Sodium	25	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:43 / eli-b		SUB-8123	777 : 9	B_R123777
Zinc	0.05	mg/L		0.02		E200.8	01/24/09 14:15 / eli-b		SUB-B1238	95 17	B_R123895

Report Definitions: RL - Analyte reporting limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.



### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client:

Asarco LLC

Client Sample ID: EHP-0109-303

Project:

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring January 2009

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

Matrix:

Groundwater

Lab ID: H09010129-004

Collection Date: 01/19/09 12:30

DateReceived: 01/19/09 Report Date: 01/28/09

Analyses	Result	Units	QUAL	RL	MCL	Method	Analysis Date / By Prep Date	Prep Method	RunID	Rur	
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES							<del></del>				
pH	5.\$	S.U.		0.1		A4500-H B	01/20/09 13:51 / hm		PH_090120	3A 8	090120A-PH-W
Conductivity	2	umhos/cm		1		A2510 B	01/22/09 12:30 / hm		COND_090122	2A 6	090122A-COND-PROBE W
Splids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 D	01/20/09 15:05 / hm		SOLIDS_090120	)A . 7	090120A-SLDS-TSS-W
Solios, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	ND	mg/L		10		A2540 C	01/19/09 16:13 / hm		SOLIDS_090119/	A : 20	090118A-SLDS-TDS-W
INORGANICS											
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	2	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:51 / hm		TITTR_090122	2A 9	090122A-ALK-W
Bicarbonate as HCO3	2	mg/L		1		A2320 B	01/22/09 16:51 / hm		TITTR_090122	2A.9	090122A-ALK-W
Chloride	ИD	mg/L		1		E300.0	01/22/09 21:11 / hm		IC101-H_090122/	A : 26	R51551
Sulfate	ND	mg/L		1		E300,0	01/22/09 21:11 / hm		IC101-H_090122A	4 : 26	R51551
METALS, DISSOLVED											
Arsenic	ND	mg/L		0.002		E200.8	01/24/09 14:23 / eli-b		SUB-B123895	5.18	B_R123895
Cadmium	ND	mg/L		0.001		E200.B	01/24/08 14:23 / eli-b		SUB-B123895	5:18	B_R123895
Calcium	ND	mg/L		1		E200 7	01/21/09 15:55 / eli-b		SUB-B123777	10	B_R123777
Copper	ND	mg/L		0.004		E200.8	01/24/09 14:23 / eli-b		SUB-B123896	18	B_R123895
Iron	ND	mg/L		0.02		E200.7	01/21/09 15:55 / eli-b		SUB-B123777	10	B_R123777
Lead	ND	mg/L		0.005		E200.8	01/24/09 14:23 / eli-b		SUB-B123895	. 18	B_R123895
Magnesium	ND	mg/L		1		E200.7	01/21/09 15:55 / eli-b		SUB-B123777	7 . 10	B_R123777

E200.7

E200.7

E200.8

E200.7

E200.8

01/21/09 15:55 / eli-b

01/21/09 15 55 / eli-b

01/24/09 14:23 / eli-b

01/21/09 15:55 / eh-b

01/24/09 14:23 / eli-b

Report Definitions:

Manganese

Potassium

Selenium

Sodium

Zinc

RL - Analyte reporting limit.

MCL - Maximum contaminant level.

0.01

1

0.005

1

0.02

ND - Not detected at the reporting limit.

SUB-B123777: 10

SUB-B123777 . 10

SUB-B123895 18

SUB-B123777: 10

SUB-B123895 18

B\_R123777

B\_R123777

B\_R123895

B\_R123777

B\_R123895



Client:

Asarco LLC

**ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT** 

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: 090119A-SLDS-TDS-W

Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 1	;	SampType.	Method Blan	k		Sample	ID: MBLK1	_090119A	Method. A2540 C			
Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:00	Units: m	g/L			Prep Info: Prep Date:				Prep Method.			
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qua	
Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	6	1										
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090	10129-002A; H	109010129-0	003A; H09010	129-004A								
Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 2		SampType:	Laboratory C	ontrol Sample	<del>'</del>	Sample	ID: <b>LCS1_</b> 0	90119A	Metho	d: <b>A2540</b> C		
Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:01	Units: m	g/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ate:		Prep Metho	d:		
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
					400	00	440					
*******	1000	10	1000		100	90	110					
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090	10129-002 <b>A</b> ; F	109010129-0	003A; H09010									
*******	10129-00 <b>2A</b> ; H	109010129-( SampType:				Sample	ID: H09010	120-001AMS		: A2540 C		
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090 Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 14	10129-002 <b>A</b> ; F	109010129-0 SampType:	003A; H09010 Sample Matri	x Spike	Prep info	Sample : Prep Da	ID: H09010	120-001AMS	Method			
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090 Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 14 Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:10	10129-00 <b>2A</b> ; H	109010129-( SampType:	003A; H09010 Sample Matri			Sample : Prep Da	ID: H09010		Prep Method		Qual	
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090 Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 14 Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:10 Analytes 1	10129-002 <b>A</b> ; H	109010129-0 SampType:	003A; H09010 Sample Matri	x Spike	Prep info	Sample : Prep Da	ID: H09010	120-001AMS	Prep Method	j:	Qual	
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090 Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 14 Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:10 Analytes 1 Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	Units: m Result 2460	109010129-0 SampType: g/L PQL	Sample Matri SPK value	x Spike SPK Ref Val 454	Prep info: %REC	Sample : Prep Da LowLimit	ID: H09010 ite: HighLimit	120-001AMS	Prep Method	j:	Quai	
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090 Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 14 Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:10 Analytes 1 Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C	Units: m Result 2460	SampType: g/L PQL 10 H09010129-0	Sample Matri SPK value 2000 2003A; H09010	x Spike SPK Ref Val 454	Prep info: %REC 100	Sample: Prep Da LowLimit 80	ID: <b>H09010</b> ite: HighLimit 120	120-001AMS	Prep Method %RPD	j:	Quai	
Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 14  Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:10  Analytes 1  Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C  Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090  Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090119A: 15	Units: m Result 2460	SampType:  PQL  10 109010129-0	Sample Matri SPK value 2000 2003A; H09010	x Spike SPK Ref Val 454 129-004A	Prep info: %REC 100	Sample: Prep Da LowLimit 80 Sample	ID: H09010 ate: HighLimit 120 ID: H09010	120-001AMS RPD Ref Val	Prep Method %RPD	d: RPDLimit	Quai	
Analysis Date: 01/19/09 16:10  Analytes 1  Solids, Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C  Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090	Units: m Result 2460	SampType:  PQL  10 109010129-0	Sample Matri SPK value 2000 003A; H09010 Sample Matri	x Spike SPK Ref Val 454 129-004A	Prep info: %REC 100	Sample: Prep Da	ID: H09010 ate: HighLimit 120 ID: H09010	120-001AMS RPD Ref Val	Prep Methox %RPD  Methox Prep Methox	d: RPDLimit	Quai	

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Qualifiers:

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

### **ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: 090120A-PH-W

Run ID :Run Order PH_090120A; 1	5	SampType: Laboratory Control Sample				Sample	1D: <b>LCS1_0</b>	90120A	Method: A4500-H B			
Analysis Date. 01/20/09 13:36	Units: s.i	u.			Prep Info:	Prep Da	te.		Prep Method:			
Analytes <u>1</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit	Qual		
pH	7.02	0.10	7		100	99	101	<del></del>				

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run ID :Run Order: PH_090120A: 6	SampType: Sample Duplicate				Sample ID: H09010129-002ADUP				Method: A4500-H B			
Analysis Date: 01/20/09 13:47	Units: s.	u.			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	d:		
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
pH	7.27	0.10				-	_	7.21	0.8	2		

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run ID :Run Order: PH_090120A: 9	S	SampType: Continuing Calibration Verification Standard Sample ID: CCV1_090120A										
Analysis Date: 01/20/09 13:52	Units: s.u	J.			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	:		
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
pH	9.99	0.10	10		100	99	101					

Associated samples:

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD



Client:

Asarco LLC

**ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT** 

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: 090120A-SLDS-TSS-W

Run ID Run Order. SOLIDS\_090120A: 1

SampType: Method Blank

Sample ID: MBLK1 090120A

Method: A2540 D

Analysis Date: 01/20/09 15:02

Units: mg/L

Prep Info: Prep Date:

Prep Method

Analytes 1

Result

PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual

ND

Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090120A: 2	S	SampType: Laboratory Control Sample				Sample	ID: LCS1_0	90120A	Method: A2540 D				
Analysis Date: 01/20/09 15:02	Units: mg	g/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	rte:		Prep Method:				
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit	Qual			
Solide Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	1970	10	2000		98	70	130						

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run ID :Run Order: SOLIDS_090120A: 6		SampType:	Sample Dupli	cate		Sample	ID: H09010	129-003ADUP	Method	: A2540 D	
Analysis Date: 01/20/09 15:04	•				Prep Info: Prep Date:			Prep Metho		i:	
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	<b>RPDLimit</b>	Qual
Solids, Total Suspended TSS @ 105 C	ND	10						2	0	10	

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD



Client:

Asarco LLC

### **ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: 090122A-ALK-W

Run iD :Run Order: TITTR_090122A: 1		SampType:	Method Blani	<		Sample	ID. MBLK1	_090122A	Method	A2320 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 15:32	Units: m	g/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ste:		Prep Method	1	
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3 ssociated samples: H09010129-001A; H09	ND 010129-002A; H	1 109010129-	003A; H09D10							_	
Run ID :Run Order: TITTR_090122A: 2		SampType:	Laboratory C	ontrol Sample		Sample	ID: LC\$1_0	90122A	Method	: A2320 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 16:01	Units: m	g/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	:	
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	600	4.0	600		99	90	110				
ssociated samples: H09010129-001A; H09	010129-002A; F	109010129-	003A; H09010	129-004A							
Run ID :Run Order: TITTR_090122A: 6		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike		Sample	ID: H09010	129-002AMS	Method	: A2320 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 16:34	Units: m	ıg/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	:	
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Alkatinity, Total as CaCO3	690	4.0	600	124.4	95	90	110				
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09	010129-002A; ł	109010129-	003A; H09010	129-004A							
Run ID :Run Order: TITTR_090122A: 7		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike Duplicate	3	Sample	ID: H090101	129-002AMSD	Method	A2320 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 16:40	Units: m	ıg/L			Prep info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method:		
Analytes <u>1</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	710	4.0	600	124.4	98	90	110	694.5	2.5	20	
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09	010129-002A; F	109010129-	003A; H09010	129-004A							••••
Run ID :Run Order: TiTTR_090122A: 10		SampType:	Continuing C	alibration Verific	ation Standa	rd Sample	ID: CCV1_0	90122A	Method:	A2320 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 17:00	Units: π	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method:		
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3	1100	4.0	1000		105	90	110				
Associated samples:									•		

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Qualifiers:

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: 090122A-COND-PROBE

Run ID :Run Order: COND_090122A: 1	SampType. Laboratory Control Samp			ontrol Sample		Sample	ID: LCS1_0	901 <u>22</u> A	Method: A2510 B			
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 12:24	Units: un	nhos/cm			Prep Info: Prep Date:			Prep Method.				
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	<b>RPDLimit</b>	Qual	
Conductivity	1400	1.0	1412		99	90	110					

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run (D.:Run Order: COND_090122A: 3	;	SampType: Sample Duplicate					ID: H090101	29-001ADUP	Method	f: A2510 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 12:26	Units: ur	nhos/cm			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	f:	
Analytes <u>1</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Conductivity	300	1.0					<u> </u>	300.1	0.1	10	

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run ID :Run Order: COND_090122A: 11	S	ampType:	Continuing C	alibration Verific	ation Standa	rd Sample	ID: CCV1_0	90122A	Method:	A2510 B	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 12:35	Units: un	Units: umhos/cm			Prep Info: Prep Date:				Prep Method:		
Analytes 1	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD R	RPDLimit	Qual
Conductivity	719	1.0	718		100	90	110				

Associated samples:

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

**ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT** 

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: B R123777

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 2		SampType:		Method	i: £200.7						
Analysis Date: 01/21/09 14:42	Units: m	ıg/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te.		Prep Method	t:	
Analytes <u>6</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium	23.8	1.0	25		95	95	105				
Iron	2.51	0.030	2.5		100	95	105				
Magnesium	23.8	1.0	25		95	95	105				
Manganese	2.43	0.010	2.5		97	95	105				
Potassium	25.5	1.0	25		102	95	105				
Sodium	25.0	1.0	25		100	95	105				

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 3	un Order: SUB-B123777: 3 SampType: Method Blank					Sample	ID: MB-TJA	DIS090121A	Metho	E200.7	
Analysis Date: 01/21/09 15:09	Units: mg/L				Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	d:	
Analytes <u>6</u>	Result	Result PQL SPK value S			%REC	LowLimit	vLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val		%RPD RPDLimit		Qual
Calcium	ND	0.02									
<b>!</b> ron	ND	0.005									
Magnesium	ND	0.1									
Manganese	ND	0.001									
Potessium	ND	0.07									
Sodium	ND	0.04									

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 4		SampType:	Laboratory F	ortified Blank		Sample	ID: LFB-TJ/	ADIS090121A	Method	: E200.7	
Analysis Date: 01/21/09 15:13	Units: n	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	d:	
Analytes 6	Result	Result PQL SPK value			%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium	48.4	1.0	50		97	85	115				
Iron	5.04	0.030	5		101	85	115				
Magnesium	48.9	1.0	50		98	85	115				
Manganese	4.85	0.010	5		97	85	115				
Potassium	50.B	1.0	50		102	85	115				
Sodium	51.0	1.0	50		102	85	115				

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Project:

Asarco LLC

### **ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: B\_R123777

Run ID Run Order: SUB-B123777: 5		SampType. Sample Matrix Spike					D: <b>B09011</b> 2	166-001BMS2	Method: E200.7		
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 02:18	Units: n	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	t:	
Analytes <u>ö</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium	76	1.0	50	30.6	91	70	130				
Iron	4.6	0,030	5	0.02441	92	70	130				
Magnesium	76	1.0	50	29.4	94	70	130				
Manganese	4.6	0.010	5	0.01035	92	70	130				
Potassium	50	1.0	50	2.765	95	70	130				
Sodium	110	1.0	50	61.8	97	70	130				

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 6		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike Duplicate		Sample	ID: B090112	266-001BMSD2	2 Method: E200.7		
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 02:22	Units: m	ıg/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	f:	
Analytes 6	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium	75	1.0	50	30.6	89	70	130	76.03	0.9	20	
Iron	4.5	0.030	5	0.02441	90	70	130	4,61	1.7	20	
Magnesium	76	1.0	50	29.4	93	70	130	76.36	0.7	20	
Manganese	4.5	0.010	5	0.01035	90	70	130	4.6	1.5	20	
Potassium	49	1.0	50	2.765	93	70	130	50.11	1.6	20	
Sodium	110	1.0	50	61.8	94	70	130	110.2	1.1	20	

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 11		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike		Sample	ID: H090101	29-001B	Method: E200.7		
Analysis Date: 01/21/09 15:28	Units: m	ıg/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method:		
Analytes 6	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit	Qual	
Calcium	121	1.0	100	30.24	91	70	130				
Iron	9.41	0.030	10	0.01599	94	70	130				
Magnesium	98.5	1.0	100	6.326	92	70	130				
Manganese	9.04	0.010	10		90	70	130				
Potassium	96.8	1.0	100	2.518	94	70	130				
Sodium	107	1.0	100	13.18	94	70	130				

Associated samples. H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

### **ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Work Order: H09010129

Project:

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: B\_R123777

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 12		SampType	Sample Matri	x Spike Duplicate		Sample	ID: H090101	129-001B	Method	£200.7	
Analysis Date 01/21/09 15:32	Units: m	ıg/L			Prep Info:	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	i:	
Analytes §	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium	121	1.0	100	30.24	91	70	130	120.8	0.1	20	
Iron	9.23	0.030	10	0.01599	92	70	130	9.412	2	20	
Magnesium	98.9	1.0	100	6.326	93	70	130	98.53	0.4	20	
Manganese	8.84	0.010	10		88	70	130	9.041	2.3	20	
Potassium	97.4	1.0	100	2.518	95	70	130	96.76	0.6	20	
Sodium	108	1.0	100	13.18	95	70	130	107.3	0.7	20	

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777; 13		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike		Sample	ID: B09011;	375-006BMS2	Prep Method:	
Analysis Date: 01/21/09 16:18	Units: n	ng/L			Prep info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method:	
Analytes 6	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC_	LowLimit	HlghLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium	113	1.0	100	20.07	93	70	130			
Iron	9.60	0.030	10	0.01909	96	70	130			
Magnesium	99.5	1.0	100	5.986	94	70	130		•	
Manganese	9.30	0.010	10	0.02717	93	70	130			
Potassium	103	1.0	100	8.422	94	70	130			
Sodium	306	1.0	100	211.1	95	70	130			

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123777: 14		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike Duplicate		Sample	ID: <b>B09011</b> 3	75-006BMSD2	Method	d: E200.7	
Analysis Date: 01/21/09 16:22	Units	mg/L			Prep info:	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	d:	
Analytes 6	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Quai
Calcium	115	1.0	100	20.07	95	70	130	113.1	2	20	
iron	9.66	0.030	10	0.01909	96	70	130	9.601	0.6	20	
Magnesium	102	1.0	100	5.986	96	70	130	99.5	2.4	20	
Manganese	9.33	0.010	10	0.02717	93	70	130	9.302	Q.3	20	
Potassium	108	1.0	100	8.422	99	70	130	102.7	4.9	20	
Sodium	319	1.0	100	211.1	108	70	130	306.2	4	20	

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

Date: 28-Jan-09

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

### **ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Date: 28-Jan-09

Method: E200.8

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchiD: B\_R123895

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123895: 3 SampType: Initial Calibration Verification Standard

Sample ID. QCS - 081021A,081125A,080225

10217,0011257,00022

Analysis Date: 01/23/09 11:13	Units: r	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	d;	
Analytes 6	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Arsenic	0.050	0.0050	0.05		101	90	110				
Cadmium	0.025	0.0010	0.025		100	90	110				
Copper	0.051	0.010	0.05		102	90	110				
Lead	0.050	0.010	0.05		100	90	110				
Selenium	0.051	0.0050	0.05		101	90	110				
Zinc	0.051	0.010	0.05		102	90	110				

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: \$UB-B123895: 4		SampType:	Method Blank	·		Sample	ID: LRB		Method	£200.8	
Analysis Date: 01/23/09 13:01	Units: n	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	i;	
Analytes 6	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	<b>RPDL</b> imit	Qual
Arsenic	ND	4E-05									
Cadmium	ND	9E-06									
Copper	ND	7E-05									
Lead	ND	8E-06									
Selenium	ND	0.0001									
Zinc	0.0007	3E-05									

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: \$UB-B123895: 5		SampType:	Laboratory Fo	ortified Blank		Sample	ID: LFB		Method	E200.8	
Analysis Date: 01/23/09 13:40	Units: r	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	d·	
Analytes <u>û</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Arsenic	0.052	0.0050	0.05		104	85	115				······
Cadmium	0.053	0.0010	0.05		106	85	115				
Copper	0.053	0.010	0.05		107	85	115				
Lead	0.052	0.010	0.05		105	85	115				
Selenium	0.053	0.0050	0.05		106	85	115				
Zinc	0.053	0.010	0.05	0.00071	105	85	115				

Associated samples H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Project:

Asarco LLC

**ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT** 

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order: H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: B\_R123895

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123895: 19	ID :Run Order: SUB-B123895: 19 Sa			x Spike		Sample	ID: <b>B09011</b> :	306-004BMS	Method:	E200.8	
Analysis Date: 01/24/09 10:33	Units: r	ng/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	te:		Prep Method:		
Analytes §	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Arsenic	0.0561	0.0050	0.05	0.00046	111	70	130				
Cadmium	0.0487	0.0010	0.05		97	70	130				
Copper	0.0484	0.010	0.05	0.00047	96	70	130				
Lead	0.0525	0.010	0.05	0.00001	105	70	130				
Selenium	0.0553	0.0050	0.05	0.00037	110	70	130				
Zinc	0.0490	0.010	0.05	0.00152	95	70	130				

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Run ID :Run Order: SUB-B123895: 20		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike Duplicate		Sample	ID: <b>B09011</b> ;	106-004BMSD	Method	E200.8	
Analysis Date: 01/24/09 10:40	Units: r	ng/L			Prep info	: Prep Da	ite:		Prep Method	d:	
Analytes <u>6</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Quai
Arsenic	0.0554	0.0050	0.05	0.00048	110	70	130	0.05611	1.3	20	
Cadmium	0.0488	0.0010	0.05		98	70	130	0.04872	0.2	20	
Copper	0.0479	0.010	0.05	0.00047	95	70	130	0.04844	1.2	20	
Lead	0.0522	0.010	0.05	0.00001	104	70	130	0.05246	0.4	20	
Selenium	0.0547	0.0050	0.05	0.00037	109	70	130	0.05532	1.1	20	
Zinc	0.0487	0.010	0.05	0.00152	94	70	130	0.04896	0.6	20	

Associated samples: H09010129-001B; H09010129-002B; H09010129-003B; H09010129-004B

Qualifiers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

### **ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Date: 28-Jan-09

A - Analyte concentration greater than three times the spike amount

Work Order:

H09010129

BatchID: R51551

Run ID :Run Order: IC101-H_090122A: 11		SampType:	Continuing C	alibration Verific	ation Standa	rd Sample	ID: CCV		Method	d: <b>E300.</b> 0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 16:20	Units:	mg/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ite.		Prep Method	<b>d</b> :	
Analytes <u>2</u>	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qua
Chloride	24	1.0	25		95	90	110		<del></del>		
Sulfate	100	1.0	100		100	90	110				
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090	10129-002A	; H08010129-0	003A; H09010	129-004A							
Run ID :Run Order: IC101-H_090122A: 12		SampType:	Initial Calibra	tion Verification	Standard	Sample	ID: ICV	<del></del>	Method	: <b>E300.</b> 0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 16:40	Units:	mg/L			Prep Info:	Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	<b>1</b> :	
Analytes 2	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	23	1.0	25		94	90	110				
Sulfate	100	1.0	100		100	90	110				
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090	10129-002A	; H09010129-0	003A; H09010	129-004A							
Run ID :Run Order: IC101-H_090122A; 13	·	SampType:	Laboratory C	ontrol Sample		Sample	ID: LCS		Method	E300.0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 16:59	Units:	mg/L			Prep Info:	Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	<b>1</b> :	
Analytes 2	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	92	1.0	87.47		105	90	110			···-·	
Sulfate	26	1.0	28.53		92	90	110				
Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H090	10129-002A	; H09010129-I	003A; H09010	129-004A							
Run ID :Run Order: IC101-H_090122A: 14		SampType:	Laboratory Fo	ortified Blank	<del></del>	Sample	D: LFB		Method	l: E300.0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 17:18	Units:	mg/L			Prep Info:	Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	l:	
Analytes 2	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	9.1	1.0	10	<del></del>	91	90	110				
Sulfate	37	1.0	40		92	90	110				
Associated samples. H09010129-001A; H090	10129-002A	; H09010129-0	03A; H09010	129-004A							
Run ID :Run Order: IC101-H_090122A: 15		SampType.	Method Blani	k		Sample I	D MBLK	<u> </u>	Method	: E300.0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 17:38	Units:	mg/L			Prep Info:	Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	l:	
Analytes 2	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND										
Sulfate	ND										

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Client:

Asarco LLC

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

Date: 28-Jan-09

Work Order:

Project:

H09010129

RI/FS Long Term Residental Monitoring Jan

BatchID: R51551

Run ID :Run Order: IC101-H 090122A: 15

SampType: Method Blank

Sample ID MBLK

Method: E300.0

Analysis Date: 01/22/09 17:38

Units: ma/L

Prep Info: Prep Date:

Prep Method:

Analytes 2

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val

%RPD RPDLimit

Limit Qual

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run (D :Run Order:  C101-H_090122A: 24	8	SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike		Sample	ID: H09010	129-003A MS	Method	: E300.0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 20:33	Units: m	g/L			Prep Info	: Prep Da	ste:		Prep Method	<b>f</b> :	
Analytes 2	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Vai	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	<b>RPDLimit</b>	Qual
Chloride	52	1.0	25	26.64	103	90	110				-
Sulfate	330	1.0	100	227.4	103	90	110				

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Run 1D :Run Order: IC101-H_090122A: 25		SampType:	Sample Matri	x Spike Duplicate		Sample	D: H09010	129-003A MSD	Method	: E300.0	
Analysis Date: 01/22/09 20:52	Units: m	g/L			Prep info	Prep Da	te:		Prep Method	d:	
Analytes 2	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	<b>RPDLimit</b>	Qual
Chloride	52	1.0	25	26.64	101	90	110	52.44	1.3	20	
Sulfate	330	1.0	100	227.4	100	90	110	330.2	0.9	20	

Associated samples: H09010129-001A; H09010129-002A; H09010129-003A; H09010129-004A

Qualiflers: ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

N - Analyte concentration was not sufficiently high to calculate RPD

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits



## Energy Laboratories Inc Workorder Receipt Checklist



Asarco LLC

Login completed by: Roxanne L. Tubbs		Date and Time	Received: 1/19/2009 3:15 PM
Reviewed by: KSW		Re	eceived by: rlt
Reviewed Date: 1-21-09		Ca	rrier name: Hand Del
Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes.[√]	No 🗀	Not Present [
Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes 📋	No 🖂	Not Present 🔽
Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present
Chain of custody present?	Yes 🕜	No 📋	
Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?	Yes ☑	No 🗌	
Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?	Yes 🗹	No 📋	
Samples in proper container/bottle?	Yes 📝	No 🗌	
Sample containers intact?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?	Yes [√]	No 🗌	
All samples received within holding time?	Yes ☑	No 🗌	
Container/Temp Blank temperature:	6.1°C		
Water - VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes [	No []	No VOA vials submitted [7]
Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?	Yes.[✓]	No 🗌	Not Applicable

Contact and Corrective Action Comments:

None